

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILIN	NG DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/064,227	06/2	21/2002	Kenneth R. Wilkes	6022P001	6022P001 4480	
8791	7590	01/14/2004		EXAMINER		
		FF TAYLOR &	DEXTER, CLARK F			
	ELES, CA 9	LEVARD, SEVEN 0025	THFLOOK	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	·			3724		
				DATE MAILED: 01/14/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	<u> </u>								
		Appli	Application No. Applicant(s)						
Office Action Summary			64,227	WILKES, KENNETH R.					
			iner	Art Unit					
			F. Dexter	3724					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this c mmun or Reply	ication appears or	n the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address -	. ●				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI sions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply specified above is less than thirty (3 period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months a d patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ICATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In renunication. 0) days, a reply within the atutory period will apply a will, by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a reply be e statutory minimum of thirty (30) on and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fre e application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communica NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on <u>30 October</u>	<u>2003</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	?b)⊠ This action i	is non-final.						
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Dispositi	on of Claims								
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the a	application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-19</u> is/aı	re withdrawn from	consideration.						
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.								
6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-13 and 20-25</u> is/are reject	ted.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.								
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or election	on requirement.						
Applicati	on Papers								
9)⊠	The specification is objected to by th	e Examiner.							
10)⊠	The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 June 200.</u>	<u>2</u> is/are: a) <u>□</u> acc	epted or b) objected	to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ction to the drawing	(s) be held in abeyance. S	See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
_	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including				_				
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner	. Note the attached Offi	ce Action or form PTO-152	1				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
a)[* S 13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internation see the attached detailed Office action acknowledgment is made of a claim from the specific reference was included of CFR 1.78. Certified copies of the priority application from the Internation of the foreign large action of the foreign large.	documents have documents have of the priority document Bureau (PCT on for a list of the cor domestic priority d in the first sente	been received. been received in Applic uments have been rece Rule 17.2(a)). certified copies not receiv under 35 U.S.C. § 119 ence of the specification	ation No ived in this National Stage ived. 9(e) (to a provisional applic or in an Application Data S					
14) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim f	or domestic priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. §§ 1	20 and/or 121 since a spec					
re	ference was included in the first sen	tence of the speci	ification or in an Applica	tion Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1	.78.				
Attachment	t(s)								
2) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) P			ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). I Patent Application (PTO-152)	_ ·				

Application/Control Number: 10/064,227 Page 2

Art Unit: 3724

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group II (claims 8-13) in the response filed October 30, 2003 (paper no. 4) is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that the process requires the use of a controller having all the particulars of invention II. This is not found persuasive because, as stated in the restriction requirement, the process can be performed by another materially different apparatus or by hand. Further, contrary to applicant's arguments, there is no requirement in the process claims for a controller. Groups I and II have been rejoined and any arguments directed to the restriction therebetween are now considered moot.
- 2. Claims 14-19 (Group III) have been withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected invention.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Information Disclosure Statement

3. The information disclosure statement filed electronically on August 19, 2003 (paper no. 2) has been received and the reference listed thereon has been considered.

Art Unit: 3724

Drawings

4. The drawings are objected to because of the following informalities:

In Figure 4, the lower occurrence of numeral 41 is inaccurate and should be changed to --42--.

In Figure 7, a line is missing from box 76, and it seems that a horizontal line with an arrowhead should be provided to connect box 76 to the vertical line at the right side of the figure.

In Figure 8, similar to Figure 7, a line is missing from box 86, and it seems that a horizontal line with an arrowhead should be provided to connect box 86 to the vertical line at the right side of the figure.

A proposed drawing correction is required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

5. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

On pages 3-4, paragraphs 0008-0015 are redundant with respect to paragraphs 0016-0023, and it seems that paragraphs 0008-0015 should be deleted.

In paragraph 0028, numeral 30 is used to represent two different features which is improper, specifically numeral 30 is used to represent both the drive system and the pneumatic or hydraulic actuator, and it is suggested in lines 6-7 to change "transverse cutting knife is driven by" to --drive system 30 is-- or the like.

Art Unit: 3724

In paragraph 0029, line 3, "32, 33" appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that it should be changed to --32, 34 and 33, 35-- or the like; similarly, in line 8, "sensor 32" appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that it should read --sensor 32, 34-- or the like; similarly, in line 9, "sensor 33" appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that it should read --sensor 33, 35-- or the like.

In paragraph 0030, lines 3 and 7, "28" appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that each should be changed to --36-- or the like; in lines 11-12, the use of "continuously" twice is confusing and redundant, and it is suggested to delete the occurrence in line 11 or the like.

In paragraph 0033, lines 2 and 4, "sensor 37" is inaccurate, and it seems that each occurrence thereof should read --sensor 37, 43-- or the like, and in line 4, --37-- should be inserted after "photo-detector-- or the like.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

6. The claims are objected to because the lines are crowded too closely together, making reading and entry of amendments difficult. Claims with lines one and one-half or double spaced on good quality paper are required in the next response. See 37 CFR 1.52(b).

Application/Control Number: 10/064,227 Page 5

Art Unit: 3724

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 1st paragraph

7. Claims 1-13 and 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

The operation of the device is not clear from the original disclosure. Specifically, the function of the controller, and more particularly the adjusting circuit thereof, is not clear since it is not clear as to how such an adjustment can me made. As disclosed, a synchronization signal is provided at a known point in a cutting cycle. Further, the actuation of the cutting knife also occurs at a known point in a cutting cycle, specifically, the beginning thereof. First, it is not clear how a synchronization signal could be generated without performing a cutting cycle. Further, it is not clear how there could be any change in timing between the synchronization signal and the actuation of the cutting knife, particularly because these are two known, fixed points of time in a cutting cycle. In general, it is not clear as to how the invention operates.

8. Claims 21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Art Unit: 3724

Regarding claim 21, the specification and drawings do not appear to provide support for the cutter as recited in claim 20 further comprising a means for providing a delay time between the receiving of the synchronization signal and the providing of the actuating signal. Rather, support is provided for the "means for adjusting" performing a delay time.

Regarding claim 23, the specification and drawings do not appear to provide support for the cutter as recited in claim 22 further comprising means for comparing the synchronization signal and a target value. Rather, support is provided for the "means for adjusting" performing the comparing function.

Regarding claim 25, the specification and drawings do not appear to provide support for the cutter as recited in claim 23 further comprising means for comparing the synchronization signal and a goal value. Rather, support is provided for the "means for adjusting" performing the comparing function.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112, 2nd paragraph

9. Claims 6, 7, 21 and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6, structural cooperation is not positively provided for the clamp, and it is suggested in line 2 to delete "to hold the web" and to insert this recitation after "knife" or the like.

Application/Control Number: 10/064,227 Page 7

Art Unit: 3724

In claim 7, lines 2-3, the recitation "when the clamp holds the web" is vague and appears to be inaccurate, and it seems that "holds" should be changed to --is moved towards the web to hold-- or the like.

In claim 21, lines 1-2, the recitation "means for providing a delay time ..." is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it refers; in lines 3-4, the recitation "wherein the means for adjusting the subsequent actuating signals is further for adjusting the delay time" is vague and indefinite as to what is being set forth, particularly in view of the recitation set forth in lines 1-2.

In claim 22, lines 1-2, the recitation "means for comparing the synchronization signal and a target value" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it refers.

In claim 24, lines 1-2, the recitation "means for comparing the synchronization signal and a goal value" is vague and indefinite as to what disclosed structure it refers.

Prior Art

- 10. Further consideration of the claimed invention with respect to the prior art will be given upon clarification of the claimed invention.
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Clark Dexter whose telephone number is 703-308-1404. The examiner can normally be reached during normal business hours on Monday, Tuesday, Thursday and Friday.

Art Unit: 3724

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Allan N. Shoap can be reached on 703-308-1082.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-1148. The fax numbers for Technology Center 3700 are: after-final responses - 703-872-9303; other formal/official papers – (703)872-9306. The fax number for informal/draft papers - 703-305-9835.

Clark F. Dexter Primary Examiner Group Art Unit 3724

cfd January 12, 2004